The 3rd National Congress of Animal Parasitic Diseases and Zoonoses

17–18 September 2025, Mashhad, Iran

Three Technical Challenges for AI in Veterinary Parasitology

Mohammad sajed Sarvari[1]

Corresponding Author: Mohammad sajed Sarvari , ms.sarvari1378@gmail.com

1. *Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran*

Background: The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into veterinary parasitology promises to revolutionize diagnostic speed and accuracy. But turning a proof-of-concept into a reliable system used in real medical settings means solving big technical problems that go well beyond infrastructure. This article aims to provide a clear view of three critical, interconnected hurdles at the forefront of Parasitology AI research.

Methods: This narrative review synthesizes key literature on the critical technical challenges in applying AI to veterinary parasitology, with a particular focus on generalizability, data annotation, and explainability. Using information from different sources to create a clear argument and show what the future of this field should look like.

Results: Three major concerns in training an AI model are: the generalizability crisis, where models fail when exposed to new, unseen data distributions; the prohibitive cost of expert annotation, which creates a bottleneck for supervised learning; and the closed box problem, which necessitates the development of Explainable AI (XAI) to ensure trust, safety, and regulatory compliance.

Conclusion: Addressing these challenges is not just an academic exercise but a prerequisite for developing reliable, scalable, and ethically sound AI tools for diagnosing parasitic diseases. Without overcoming these obstacles, AI will remain confined to the laboratory, limiting its real-world application.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Veterinary Parasitology, Explainable AI (XAI), Medical Imaging

Introduction: The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to veterinary parasitology has shown immense potential. Studies have demonstrated that deep learning models, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), can identify parasite eggs, oocysts, and protozoa in microscopic images with accuracy that rivals or even surpasses human experts (1,2). These advancements promise a future of rapid, automated, and accessible diagnostics, which is especially critical for resource-limited settings and for managing herd health on a large scale.

However, the transition from promising results in a controlled laboratory setting to practical tools in the "farm"—the diverse and unpredictable environment of veterinary fields—faces significant

challenges. Many high-performing models published in the literature are "fragile," meaning their performance collapses when faced with minor variations in data that were not present in their training set. This raises a critical question: what are the core technical barriers preventing the widespread, reliable adoption of these technologies?
This article moves beyond the discussions of funding and infrastructure to focus on three fundamental technical challenges: the crisis of generalizability, the economic and logistical burden of data annotation, and the clinical and ethical need for Explainable AI (XAI). By mentioning these hurdles, we aim to provide a realistic roadmap for researchers, clinicians, and developers working to build the next generation of trustworthy AI-powered diagnostic tools in parasitology.

Materials and Methods: This article presents a narrative review of the critical technical challenges in applying artificial intelligence to veterinary parasitology. A non-systematic search of the literature was conducted across databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and IEEE Xplore to identify key publications related to generalizability, data annotation, and explainability in medical AI. The chosen sources were put together to explain an idea and suggest the future direction of this field.

Results and Discussion:

- *The Generalizability Crisis: Brittleness of AI Models in the farm*

Generalizability refers to a model's ability to maintain its performance on new, unseen data that may differ in distribution from the data it was trained on. In medical imaging, this is the single most significant barrier to real-world deployment (3). In the context of parasitology, this challenge manifests in several critical ways.

A “domain” is the set of conditions under which data is collected. If the model learns only from one situation, it may fail in another. This "domain shift" can be categorized as: Technical shifts and Geographic-biological shift. Technical shift arises from variations in the image capturing. A model trained on high-resolution images from a brand A whole-slide scanner may perform poorly on pictures from a brand B microscope, a smartphone camera attached to an eyepiece, or even images subjected to different compression algorithms (4). Variations in staining protocols (e.g., Giemsa vs. Trichrome), stain concentration, and illumination intensity create subtle but significant shifts in color and texture that can confuse a naive model. For example, in the context of geographic and biological shifts, A model trained to identify *Eimeria* species prevalent in a poultry farm in one region may fail to recognize subspecies or genetically distinct variants from another area that exhibit minor morphological differences. Furthermore, the model may be confused by region-specific artifacts, such as pollen grains or fungal spores, that were absent in the original training data, leading to misidentification (5).

Another major concern is overfitting to spurious correlations. Deep learning models are powerful pattern recognizers, but they lack human-like causal reasoning. As a result, they may associate a

diagnosis with irrelevant artifacts present in the training data—a phenomenon known as the "Clever Hans" effect. (6). For instance, if most of the images containing *Fasciola hepatica* eggs in a dataset have a slightly darker background or a specific type of debris, the model may learn this spurious correlation instead of the actual egg morphology. a model may score high on familiar test data but fail clinically when artifacts differ, highlighting the risk of trusting accuracy without understanding the model Learnings.

- *The High Cost of Annotation*

Supervised learning, the dominant paradigm in medical AI, relies on vast quantities of meticulously labeled data. In parasitology, this creates a severe and often underestimated bottleneck. Annotating parasitological images requires expert parasitologists or lab technicians, who are scarce and costly. Segmenting parasites on whole-slide images is tedious, time-consuming, and prone to fatigue and inconsistencies. Parasitology is often ambiguous, with experts frequently disagreeing when distinguishing cysts, eggs, or artifacts (7). Standard annotation tools, which require a single, definitive label, fail to find out this diagnostic uncertainty, feeding the model potentially noisy or incorrect information.

These challenges are pushing research toward new methods. One example is weakly-supervised learning, which uses image-level labels (e.g., "this slide is positive") instead of exact pixel segmentations. Another is self-supervised learning, which trains models to learn visual features from unlabeled data, reducing the need for large labeled datasets (8).

- *The Closed Box Problem: A Critical Need for Explainable AI (XAI)*

Many strong deep learning models work in a way that people cannot fully see or understand, like a closed box. They provide a prediction but offer no insight into their decision-making process. In critical areas like medicine, not knowing how the model works makes it hard for people to trust, use, and feel safe with it. (9). A veterinarian cannot be expected to blindly trust an algorithm's recommendation, especially when it goes against their own medical opinion. To be a true "assistant" The AI needs to show the reasons behind its decisions. Without the ability to explain, the model remains a mysterious oracle rather than a trusted tool. When an AI model makes a mistake, it is nearly impossible to diagnose the cause. Is it focusing on a stain artifact? Was it confused by poor lighting? Without clear information about decision-making, improving the model means trial and error. Explainable AI is not just about building trust; it is a potential tool for discovery. By visualizing what features a model uses to identify a specific parasite, we may uncover novel morphological biomarkers that human experts previously overlooked (10).

Techniques such as Class Activation Mapping (CAM) and its variants (e.g., Grad-CAM) are becoming essential tools for opening this closed box. (11). These methods create visual maps that show which parts of the image had the most significant impact on the model's decision. This helps

us see what the model is paying attention to. Integrating explainable AI is no longer an option, but it's a necessity for building safe, reliable, and auditable AI systems for veterinary diagnostics.

Summary of Key Technical Challenges in Veterinary Parasitology AI

Challenge	Description	Example in Parasitology	Potential Solutions
The Generalizability Crisis	The model struggles with unseen data from new sources (domain shift).	A model trained on microscope images fails on smartphone photos or different stains.	- Data Augmentation - Domain Adaptation Techniques - Training on Diverse, Multi-Center Datasets
The High Cost of Annotation	Labeling large image datasets is slow, costly, and demands scarce expertise, bottlenecking supervised learning.	A parasitologist spends hours outlining parasite eggs on a slide.	- Weakly-Supervised Learning - Self-Supervised Learning -Active Learning
The Closed Box Problem	The inability to understand or interpret the decision-making process of a complex AI model, which undermines clinical trust and complicates error diagnosis.	AI mislabels an artifact as a parasite, and the vet can't tell why.	- Explainable AI (XAI) Methods (e.g., Grad-CAM) - Inherently Interpretable Models - Post-Hoc Explanation Systems

Conclusion:

AI in veterinary parasitology has transformative potential, but faces major hurdles: model brittleness from domain shifts, the high cost and expertise needed for data annotation, and the opacity of closed-box algorithms. Future work must focus on robust, generalizable models, data-efficient learning, and built-in ability to explain, to create AI tools that are not only accurate but also reliable, trustworthy, and practical in veterinary care.

References:

1. Akanni J, Odeniyi L, Aremu Z, Benedict O, Fatmawati F. Automated Diagnosis of Schistosomiasis Using Convolutional Neural Networks: A Comparative Study with K-Nearest Neighbors. Available SSRN 4953853. 2024;
2. Osaku D, Cuba CF, Suzuki CTN, Gomes JF, Falcão AX. Automated diagnosis of intestinal parasites: a new hybrid approach and its benefits. Comput Biol Med. 2020;123:103917.

3. Windecker D, Baj G, Shiri I, Kazaj PM, Kaesmacher J, Gräni C, et al. Generalizability of FDA-approved AI-enabled medical devices for clinical use. JAMA Netw Open. 2025;8(4):e258052–e258052.

4. Matta S, Lamard M, Zhang P, Le Guilcher A, Borderie L, Cochener B, et al. A systematic review of generalization research in medical image classification. Comput Biol Med. 2024;183:109256.

5. Zech JR, Badgeley MA, Liu M, Costa AB, Titano JJ, Oermann EK. Variable generalization performance of a deep learning model to detect pneumonia in chest radiographs: a cross-sectional study. PLoS Med. 2018;15(11):e1002683.

6. DeGrave AJ, Janizek JD, Lee S-I. AI for radiographic COVID-19 detection selects shortcuts over signal. Nat Mach Intell. 2021;3(7):610–9.

7. Cheplygina V, De Bruijne M, Pluim JPW. Not-so-supervised: a survey of semi-supervised, multi-instance, and transfer learning in medical image analysis. Med Image Anal. 2019;54:280–96.

8. Azizi S, Mustafa B, Ryan F, Beaver Z, Freyberg J, Deaton J, et al. Big self-supervised models advance medical image classification. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 2021. p. 3478–88.

9. Amann J, Blasimme A, Vayena E, Frey D, Madai VI, Consortium P. Explainability for artificial intelligence in healthcare: a multidisciplinary perspective. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2020;20(1):310.

10. Holzinger A, Langs G, Denk H, Zatloukal K, Müller H. Causability and explainability of artificial intelligence in medicine. Wiley Interdiscip Rev data Min Knowl Discov. 2019;9(4):e1312.

11. Selvaraju RR, Cogswell M, Das A, Vedantam R, Parikh D, Batra D. Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2017. p. 618–26.